

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_78737
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of the Relationship between Reperfusion Success and T-peak to T-end Interval in Patients with ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction Treated with Percutaneous Coronary Intervention or Pharmacoinvasive Therapy</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Please</b>  <b>Determine the keywords according to the main content of your research. At least 4 words and maximum 8 words.</b>  <b>The code of ethics should be mentioned in the method section.</b>  <b>How randomization is done during sampling.</b>  <b>In all tables: Abbreviation guide such as HTN, DM, n and etc. Write below the table</b>  <b>In all tables, the numbers that are specified as percentages in parentheses should be modified similar to the example: RCA (%)</b>  <b>Abbreviations below the diagrams are fully explained. In general, tables and figures alone should be clear and unambiguous in abbreviation words</b>  <b>P value should be reported in the text like this: (P=0.214)</b>  <b>In the discussion section, analyse your study findings and avoid giving numbers and P-value again.</b>  <b>In the discussion section, there is no need to bring the confidence interval and sensitivity of the statistical analysis test to calculate a variable. It is better to explain and interpret yourself and compare it with another study and your hypothesis</b>  <b>Explain how to calculate ..... by angiography</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please;            In the abstract section, the narrative vocabulary limit in this section is 300 words. So review and summarize this section</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>In my opinion, the author can explain about ECG analysis and QT interval and ST segment were performed in the method section and then correlation between T-peak to T-end Interval in PCI and Pharmaco-invasive Therapy.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Motahareh Ghodrati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Qom University Of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>