

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_78737
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Relationship between Reperfusion Success and T-peak to T-end Interval in Patients with ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction Treated with Percutaneous Coronary Intervention or Pharmacoinvasive Therapy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This is an interesting paper regarding the relationship between reperfusion success and T-peak to T-end interval in patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention.</p> <p>I believe that the study is valuable and sound. The abstract is well written, it briefly summarizes the purpose of the paper and the results obtained. Also, the English language is fine. I consider that the results obtained can make significant contributions to further large studies, in order to study the possible relationships with severity and worst clinical outcomes. I consider that the study is valuable and can be published in its current form.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Larisa Anghel</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>"Grigore T. Popa" University Of Medicine And Pharmacy Of Iași, Romania</i>