

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_76934
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Subclinical Left Ventricular Systolic and Diastolic Dysfunction in Patients with Type 1 DM with and without Good Metabolic Control
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. It is noted that your manuscript needs careful editing by someone whose native language is English and paying particular attention to English grammar and sentence structure.</p> <p>2. Lack of ultrasound images. It is recommended to use 2D, TDI, and 2D-SPT images to make a combination of multiple images.</p> <p>3. In the discussion section, the author should relate your findings to previous research, rather than describe them. In addition, the author should explain the results of your research rather than just state them.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. In the background section, "left ventricular ejection fraction", the first occurrence should be appended with the abbreviation.</p> <p>2. Some sentences in the result section are not clear, for example, "AP4C LS, AP2C LS, AP3C LS, and GLS were significantly decreased in group B than group A and group C and was significantly decreased in group A than group C, A velocity was significantly impaired among the three groups. A velocity was significantly increased in group B than group A and group C and was insignificantly impaired in group A than group C."</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qingling Zhang
Department, University & Country	The First Affiliated Hospital Of Wannan Medical College, China