

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_76934
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Subclinical Left Ventricular Systolic and Diastolic Dysfunction in Patients with Type 1 DM with and without Good Metabolic Control
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript good presented different parts	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are minors linguistic errors need to be improved such as "patientsaged, atTanta, in the, Hospitalfrom, criteriawere,...etc. please revise.</p> <p>Please rephrasing the sentences "As well as hypertensive patients, smokers, individuals with chronic kidney illness and history of documented coronary artery, congenital heart, and valvular heart diseases. In addition, patients with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)>50 % by conventional echocardiography"</p> <p>By "Hypertensive patients, smokers, people with chronic kidney disease, and anyone with a history of coronary artery, congenital heart, and valvular heart disease are all at risk. Patients having a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) greater than 50% on traditional echocardiography are also included"</p> <p>Also "As well as people with atrial fibrillation and other types of arrhythmias."</p> <p>Please correct the sentences as following "Regarding demographic data, SBP, and DBP measures showsinsignificantdifference among the studied groups. Table 1" by "Regarding demographic data, SBP, and DBP measures shows in significant difference among the studied groups (Table 1)".</p>	
Optional/General comments	The parts of the manuscript are good presented	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Hassan Ih El-Sayyad</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Mansoura University, Egypt</i>