

Short Research Article

Origin and dynamics of termite mound soils in southern India

ABSTRACT

Aims: In Southern India, termite above-ground nests can have the shape of cathedral (CAT) or lenticular (LENT) mounds. Although CAT are built by the fungus-growing species *Odontotermes obesus*, the origin and evolution of LENT remain unknown.

Study site: This study was carried out in the Bandipur Tiger reserve (dry deciduous forest), Karnataka, Southern India.

Methodology: Only large size mounds between 1.5 - 1.8m high were considered in this study. Soil samples were collected from the outer wall of CAT nest and from the soil surface layer (0-5 cm deep) and surrounding soil. Particle-size distribution and dispersion were obtained by process of sedimentation.

Results: Using elemental physical and chemical properties, this study showed a gradient of soil properties from the soil sampled between 50-100 cm depth to CAT, LENT and the surrounding topsoil (Fluvisol), suggesting that: (i) CAT can be considered patches in the landscapes with specific physical and chemical properties in comparison with CTRL and LENT; (ii) LENT mounds can result from the progressive modification of CTRL (autogenic origin of LENT) and their degradation leads to a progressive recovery of CTRL properties or (iii) they originate from the colonization of abandoned CAT by other termite species (exogenic origin of LENT).

Conclusion: This study confirms the complexity of CAT and LENT fates and the need for long-term datasets to determine the origin and evolution of LENT mounds.

Keywords: *Fluvisol, Odontotermes spp. Southern India, Termite mounds*

Comment [JMM1]: Therefore, the aim (s) are?

Comment [JMM2]: Did you apply statistical analysis?

Comment [JMM3]: Curious that they are keywords but in the abstract they are not. Please revise.

1. INTRODUCTION

Termites (Isoptera) are considered the most dominant invertebrate decomposers and ecosystem engineers in tropical ecosystems due to their influence on soil dynamics [1,2]. Indeed, they construct different types of soil biogenic structures (e.g., termite mounds and sheetings) which have significant effects on nutrient cycling and water dynamic. Amongst them, termite mounds are conspicuous features of tropical savannas and forests. They are made of soil coming from different soil depths and they are characterized by different soil physical and chemical properties in comparison with the surrounding soil environment [3,4].

In Southern India, few termite species build above-ground mounds and most of them are built by *Odontotermesobesus* [5]. These mounds are mainly made of soil sampled between 50 to 100 cm depth [6]. They usually have a cathedral (CATnest) shape and they can reach up to 2m high in some circumstances while their erosion leads to an accumulation of soil in their periphery (CATperiph). Termites are also found in lenticular mounds (LENT), which are usually covered with vegetation. The origin and dynamic of these mounds remains, however, speculative. It has been suggested that they result either from the activity of other species, such as *Odontotermesredamanni*, or to the colonization of abandoned *O. obesus* mounds by *Odontotermes* spp. To tackle this question, the objective of this study was to use the physical and chemical properties of these two types of termite mounds and those from the soil eroded from the mounds to discuss their dynamic and relationships.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study site

The present study was carried out in the Bandipur Tiger reserve (dry deciduous forest) in Southern India at 11°44'N and 76°26'E (Chamarajanagar, Karnataka, India) and more information concerning the study site can be found in [6]. All the soil (Fluvisol) samples were collected in the Mule Hole experimental watershed (4.1 km²).

2.2 Study models and soil sampling

The size of CATnest is highly variable [5] and only large size mounds between 1.5 to 1.8m high were considered in this study. LENT mounds were differentiated according to their sizes: LENTsmall (< 1 m³), LENTmedium (between 1 to 3 m³) and LENTlarge (> 3 m³). Soil samples were collected from the outer wall of CATnest and from the soil surface layer (0-5 cm deep) for the other treatments (n = 3). The surrounding environment ('CTRL', distance from any termite mound ~ 5-10 m) was sampled at 0-5 cm depth (n = 12). Soils were also sampled in the 50-100 cm soil layer ('PROF') where termites are known to sample the soil used for the edification of CATnest [6] (n = 4).

2.3 Soil properties

Soil properties measured included the particle-size distribution, after destruction of organic matter and dispersion with sodium hexametaphosphate (AFNOR, NFX 31 107): clay (< 2 µm) and silts (2-50 µm) were obtained by sedimentation and sand (50-2000 µm) by wet sieving. The C and N concentrations and δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N were measured using an elemental analyzer Flash 2000 HT coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer Delta V Advantage from Thermo Fischer Scientific. C and N isotope ratios were defined using the delta notation:

$$\delta \text{ sample } (\%) = [(R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{standard}}) / R_{\text{standard}}] \times 1000$$

where R is the isotopic ratio ¹³C/¹²C and ¹⁵N/¹⁴N for the sample and reference gas, R_{sample} and R_{standard}, respectively. The stable isotope ratios were calculated relative to the Pee Dee Belemnite standard (PDB) for C and relative to atmospheric N₂ for N. The cationic exchange capacity was determined at soil pH by exchange with cobaltihexamine (AFNOR, NF ISO 23470).

Comment [JMM4]: spaces

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [JMM5]: CITE

Comment [JMM6]: space

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [JMM7]: Spaces... I will not mark it anymore. Please review it throughout the document.

Comment [JMM8]: Soil type ?

Comment [JMM9]: I don't understand why I use n = 3, 12 and 4. ... why was the sampling not homogenized for the same number of samples in the different cases? This negatively impacts the results of the statistical analysis.

2.4 Statistical analyses

The physical and chemical signatures of soil (Fluvisol) samples were analysed by a principal component analysis (PCA) with a matrix of 31 samples. All statistical were calculated using R studio and R version 3.2.1 with ade4, FActoMineR and factoextrapackages.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dynamics of termite mounds has been little explored especially because for this long term studies following how mounds change over time are required [7]. Using elemental physical and chemical properties, this study showed that soil samples were mainly differentiated along the first axis, which explained approximately 42% of the total variability (Fig.1) along a gradient from PROF to CAT and then LENTsmall and CTRL. Conversely, CTRL and LENT mounds had very similar fingerprints and they were mainly differentiated along the second axis, which explained 28% of the total variability. Considering these results, different scenarios can be suggested to explain the dynamics of termite mounds in south-Indian forest ecosystems. CATnest can be considered as patches in the landscapes with specific physical and chemical properties in comparison with CTRL. Obviously, their degradation by animals or their erosion by the rain is important for the redistribution of SOM, clay and nutrients in tropical ecosystems. The degradation of CATnest leads to the creation of a mound (CATperiph), with intermediate soil physical and chemical properties between those of CATnest and CTRL (Trajectory n°1). This result therefore suggests that a progressive erosion of CATperiph is likely to lead to the recovery of soil properties similar to those observed in CTRL, most likely after the vegetation develops and soil bioturbation by other animals or the whole erosion of the soil.

The PCA showed overlaps between CTRL and LENT, showing that these soil properties are very similar and suggesting that LENT is likely to emerge from and degrade into CTRL (Trajectory n°2). The physical and chemical properties of LENT are initially very similar to those of CTRL but their colonization by vegetation and development of termite activity is likely to create self-organized patches with their own dynamics and evolution following an autogenic succession, as observed in the Amazon [8]. Conversely, the lack of termite activity in LENT, possibly after their predation by sloth bears [9], could lead to their progressive degradation until the recovery of CTRL properties. Finally, the PCA suggests another possible dynamic. CATperiph could not be differentiated from LENTsmall and LENTmedium. This result therefore suggests a possible exogenic origin of LENT mounds, or in other words that CATperiph can also evolve into LENTsmall after its colonization by other termite species and possibly other invertebrates (ants, earthworms, millipedes, coleoptera, etc) [10], which could then benefit from the elevation and specific soil properties of CATperiph and gradually modify them into LENTmedium and later LENTlarge. This hypothesis is in line with the long-term study of Josens et al. [7] (2016) that showed that lenticular mounds occupied by *Odontotermes* sp. pauperans in African savannahs can originate from degraded cathedral mounds of *Macrotermes* sp. Conversely, since CAT are mainly made of soil sampled at 50-100 cm depth [6], an exogenic origin of CATnest emerging from degraded LENT is unlikely.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present study strengthens the evidence of a link between cathedral and lenticular mounds. As already highlighted by Pullan[11] and Josens et al. [7], the fate of termite mounds can be complex and more data, and especially long-term datasets are needed to determine their origin and evolution.

Comment [JMM10]: This its introduction not result.

Comment [JMM11]: The PCA is not the cause, it is a consequence, reformulate, please. The environmental gradient is the cause

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [JMM12]: The PCA can be exploited further. The relationship between the physical-chemical properties of the soil and the distribution of the mounds, among other things, is not discussed.

Comment [JMM13]: Discussion ?

Comment [JMM14]: Can you improve it and focus on the goal? Is it fulfilled or not fulfilled? What was obtained?

REFERENCES

1. Dangerfield JM, McCarthy TS, Ellery WN. The mound-building termite *Macrotermes michaelseni* as an ecosystem engineer. *Journal of tropical Ecology*. 1998;14(4):507-20.
2. Jouquet P, Dauber J, Lagerlöf J, Lavelle P, Lepage M. Soil invertebrates as ecosystem engineers: intended and accidental effects on soil and feedback loops. *Applied soil ecology*. 2006;32(2):153-64.
3. Holt JA, Lepage M. Termites and soil properties. *Termites: evolution, sociality, symbioses, ecology*. 2000:389-407.
4. Jouquet P, Traoré S, Choosai C, Hartmann C, Bignell D. Influence of termites on ecosystem functioning. Ecosystem services provided by termites. *European Journal of Soil Biology*. 2011;47(4):215-22
5. Shanbhag RR, Kabbaj M, Sundararaj R, Jouquet P. Rainfall and soil properties influence termite mound abundance and height: A case study with *Odontotermes obesus* (Macrotermitinae) mounds in the Indian Western Ghats forests. *Applied Soil Ecology*. 2017;111:33-8.
6. Jouquet P, Caner L, Bottinelli N, Chaudhary E, Cheik S, Riotte J. Where do South-Indian termite mound soils come from? *Applied Soil Ecology*. 2017;117:190-5.
7. Josens G, Dosso K, Konaté S. Lenticular mounds in the African savannas can originate from ancient *Macrotermes* mounds. *Insectes sociaux*. 2016;63(3):373-9.
8. Renard D, Birk JJ, Zangerlé A, Lavelle P, Glaser B, Blatrix R, McKey D. Ancient human agricultural practices can promote activities of contemporary non-human soil ecosystem engineers: A case study in coastal savannas of French Guiana. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2013;62:46-56.
9. Ramesh T, Kalle R, Sankar K, Qureshi Q. Factors affecting habitat patch use by sloth bears in Mudumalai Tiger Reserve, Western Ghats, India. *Ursus*. 2012;23(1):78-85.
10. Choosai, C., Mathieu, J., Hanboonsong, Y. and Jouquet, P., 2009. Termite mounds and dykes are biodiversity refuges in paddy fields in north-eastern Thailand. *Environmental Conservation*, 36(1), pp.71-79.
11. Pullan RA. Termite hills in Africa: their characteristics and evolution. *Catena*. 1979 ;6(3-4):267-91.

Caption of Fig 1.

Fig. 1 Principal component analysis (PCA) and correlation circle of the soil physical and chemical properties of termite mound soils, CAT_{nest}, CAT_{periph}, LENT_{small}, LENT_{medium} and LENT_{large} and the control soil sampled at 0-5cm depth or at 50-100 cm depth. The arrow and the circle represent the different trajectories between CAT, LENT and CTRL.

Comment [JMM15]: Can you tell what each color indicates?

