

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_87721
Title of the Manuscript:	Sever Oligospermia Treatment with Testicular Sperm Using ICSI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>For a retrospective study like this, more literatures should have been reviewed (over 20 literatures) for it to pass for a review article.</b></p> <p><b>More of older literatures (researches) were reviewed than new ones. In 2022 review article, we should find more of review of researches of within the last 5 to 7 years.</b></p> <p><b>Methodology as well as the number of article reviewed was not stated in the manuscript. That is very important an should be included.</b></p> <p><b>Method of testicular sperm harvest should also be indicated. Did all the studies reviewed use the same method of testicular sperm harvest?. are there different methods of testicular sperm harvest?</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Lots of grammatical errors such as the use of present tense for a previous research should be correct.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	More researches should be reviewed and they should be researches done with the last 5 to 7 years. This will give more weight and quality to the work.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Osakue O. Nosakhare
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria