

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_82967
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant activities of vitamin C and bi-carbonate buffers on hormones secretion and serum metabolites of heat-stressed rabbit buck
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The text is clear and has a good context with the problem raised by the researchers.	
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest mentioning in the work the approval of the ethics committee of the competent institution that managed the present study.	
Optional/General comments	The work has a good scientific-theoretical basis, but it has weak ethical evidence regarding the applied scientific method.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emerson Barbosa da Silva
Department, University & Country	Center of University FMABC Santo Andre, Brazil