

Original Research Article

Evaluation of the influence of some abiotic factors on the microbiological quality of some groundwater points in Soa sub-division, Cameroon, Africa

ABSTRACT

Groundwater samples (collected) were collected during April and August 2016 from five hand-dug wells in Soa subdivision, Cameroon, Africa. (On the field, the hydrological and morphometric parameters of the study area were measured while the bacteriological and physicochemical parameters were determined in the laboratory. The goal was) with the aim to assess the microbiological quality of them (groundwater) and the health risk they (it) posed to the population in the study area. (of Soa). The low piezometric levels (9 – 20 m) and the little variation in curb-stone heights (0.58 – 1.05 m) suggest that the wells are vulnerable to pollution. The physicochemical parameters show that the groundwater of Soa is acidic and poorly mineralized. (Most of) The residents (population that is) dominated by students are (is) not connected to the supply of (main) water, making them (residents) to take recourse to groundwater for potable and general domestic use. Bacteriological analysis of the water samples focused on the search and listing of heterotrophic mesophilic aerobic bacteria (HAMB). Also, bacteria of the Enterobacteriaceae family were isolated, identified and listed (*Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Shigella*, *Citrobacter*, *Providencia*). (All) The analyses were (was) carried out (performed) through (on) standard, selective and conventional gallery culture media, using surface spreading, streaking and sub-culturing techniques. Different ions were also measured for the physicochemical component according to the usual analytical techniques. The low values of oxidability (have been) recorded (and) clearly indicated the (reflect an average) organic pollution of the study area. The organic matter was found largely influencing the increase in bacterial load (which is high and varied). The presence of these germs degrades the quality of the water, which varies from one site to the other, with the important factors being the proximity of the sources of pollution to the well and its poor maintenance. As local populations are using these ground waters without any prior treatment, they are prone (exposed) to health risks over the period time. (in the short and medium terms).

Keywords: groundwater; pathogenic bacteria; Enterobacteria; abiotic variable.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Africa and particularly in Cameroon, water supply companies are still facing major challenges in the provision of fresh potable water to the population. Access to safe drinking water therefore remains a key issue [1, 2] in most developing countries. In Cameroon, the main public water supply company (CAMWATER) has not been able to match its supply with

the rapidly increasing population in the country. As a result, many have resorted to the use of alternative sources with groundwater as the most preferred. Though the trend is gradually shifting towards the use of boreholes to harness the groundwater, most of the supply is largely through unprotected hand dug wells, making the source highly vulnerable to microbial pollution. Even though the boreholes relatively more protected than the hand-dug wells, their location is conditioned by the owner and whose decision to influence the location is also limited within the confines of his property. The result is that most boreholes are located within the contamination range (< 100 m) of pit latrines and septic tanks. Users take the clear appearance of the groundwater to imply purity in complete oblivion of its microbial load [3, 4]. Bacteria of various types make up the majority of the microflora in groundwater [4, 5]. Looking for organisms that suggest contamination or fecal pollution is one way to determine the quality of the water. Enterobacteriaceae (total and fecal coliforms) is a useful indicator whose presence in non-disinfected groundwater depicts degradation of the water quality induced by surface water inputs. Its presence in water can be an indicator of the potential presence of human enteric viruses [6, 7].

Numerous studies have been carried out on the bacteriological quality of groundwater in Yaoundé [8, 9, 10, 11]. **It is to be noted that** **Note should be taken that** the study area **in this study, Soa, is located in found at** the peri-urban outskirts of Yaounde. The previous studies show that groundwater in Yaoundé harbors a varied microflora, made up of fecal bacteria and opportunistic pathogenic bacteria. Isolated bacteria of the Enterobacteriaceae family **include commonly belong to the** *Enterobacter*, *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Citrobacter*, *Serratia*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Edwardsiella*, *Proteus* and *Providencia* genera. Their population dynamics is influenced by meteorological parameters and certain abiotic factors of the environment [12].

However, little is known about the groundwater quality of Soa, where pressure on groundwater is increasing rapidly as a result of increasing domestic use. This increase is driven by the inability of CAMWATER, the main public water supply company, to meet the needs of the Soa population. The population **of the study area comprises mainly**, **especially that in** the student **community residential areas** of the university town of Soa, **which is found has resorting** to alternative water sources, **viz., the groundwater**, as the most favored source because of its **generally presumed** good quality. **However, That notwithstanding, the groundwater remains susceptibility** to contamination [13-15] **and this vulnerability** can only be **known gauged** through **scientific study and data generation**. The paucity of data on this important source of potable water for the Soa community leaves a knowledge gap on its quality and health effects. It is for this reason that the aim of this work is to (i) assess the bacteriological quality, (ii) determine the physicochemical composition and (iii) establish the morphometric and piezometric parameters of the sampling points in for groundwater in Soa.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study area

Soa subdivision is located in the Mefou and Afamba Division in the Centre Region of Cameroon; some 14 km northeast of Yaoundé. It is roughly circumscribed by latitude 3°50' to 4°10' N and longitude 11°30' to 11°40' E (Fig. 1). Altitudes range from 600 to 730 m and can drop to 300 m in the valleys. It has a surface area of 326 km² [16]. The prevailing climate is humid equatorial. The vegetation consists of forest formations in the south which gradually passes to a peri-forest savannah towards the north [17]. Soa is found in the Nyong basin in which the hydrographic network that is revolves around the Afamba river and its main tributaries: Mbende and Foulou. The soils are mainly ferralitic with two main variants: red clayey and acidic ferralitic soils (pH < 5.5) and yellow clayey iron-rich ferralitic soils [18,19].

HT 8733 conductivity meter. The dissolved oxygen was determined using a WTW Oxy 340 brand oximeter. The values were given in percentage oxygen saturation.

The color of the water was obtained using a HACH DR/2000 spectrophotometer and the reading was taken at the wavelength of 455 nm. The nitrogen forms in mg/L (nitrate and ammoniacal nitrogen) were determined by colorimetry using a spectrophotometer (HACH DR/2000) and the Nessler reagents were used for the determination of ammoniacal nitrogen and nitrate IV for nitrates. Oxidability, alkalinity and dissolved carbon dioxide were determined by volumetric assay using the appropriate reagents. The major cations, magnesium (Mg^{2+}), calcium (Ca^{2+}), sodium (Na^+) and potassium (K^+) were determined by conductimetry using a cleonex ICS 90 and ICS 1100 liquid phase ion chromatograph and the results were expressed in mg /L.

Table 1. GPS coordinates of the wells selected at Soa

Wells	Sites	GPS coordinates		
		Altitudes (m)	Latitudes	Longitudes
P1	In front of the University	663	03°57'57.3	11°36'40.0
P2	Behind the public primary school	659	03°58'23.1	11°35'26.2
P3	Entered general high school	684	03°58'45.2	11°35'32.5
P4	Police station	684	03°59'09.6	11°36'02.8
P5	Château (Foe Road)	700	03°58'58.4	11°36'45.5

2.2.2 Bacteriological analysis

Bacteriological analysis comprised the screening consisted of searching, isolating and counting of heterotrophic mesophilic aerobic bacteria (HMAB) and Enterobacteriaceae; as well as besides the identification of strains of Enterobacteriaceae isolated from well water samples. These microorganisms were identified and enumerated by filtering homogeneous aliquots of 100 mL through a membrane with a pore diameter of 0.45 μ m. The membranes were then placed on selective media for 24 hours at 37 °C in a thermostatic oven. The following media were used: Plate Count Agar (PCA) was used for the isolation of HMAB and Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) lactose agar was used for the isolation of Enterobacteriaceae [21].

The identification of the bacterial colonies isolated on the EMB medium was carried out first by macroscopic observation of the colonies, including the shape, size, outline and color of the colonies. Subsequently, biochemical tests were carried out using the classical gallery [21]. The colony count was performed with the naked eye using a colony counter. The results were expressed in log (CFU / 100 mL).

2.2.3 Statistical analysis

The correlation coefficients between bacterial densities and physicochemical variables were calculated using Spearman's "r" correlation test. The comparisons of the mean of the bacterial densities were carried out by means of the H tests of Kruskal-Wallis and U of Mann-Whitney. These tests were performed using SPSS 16.0 software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Hydrological and morphometric parameters of wells

The hydrological parameters vary from one well to the other (Table 2). The piezometric levels vary between 9 and 20 m while the heights of the curb-stone range between 0.58 and 1.05 m. The highest curb-stone height was recorded at well P3 and the lowest at well P2.

The measured parameters (Table 2) indicate that wells P1 and P2 are not protected, while the wells P3, P4, and P5 are protected as the P1 well was not at all covered and while the P2 well had a rusted and perforated metal cover. On the other hand, the wells P3, P4 and P5 were properly covered and relatively well secured equipped (Table 2).

Table 2. Morphometric and hydrological parameters of the wells studied

Wells	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Piezometric level (m)	9	19.5	16	18	20
Height of curb-stone (m)	0.9	0.58	1.05	0.85	0.80
Well protection	absent	absent	present	present	present

3.2 Physicochemical parameters

Table 3 shows the mean values and standard deviations of the physicochemical variables for each well. The temperature of the groundwater samples that ranges from (22.80 ± 0.2 - 23.60 ± 0.4°C) shows very little variation. This low thermal variation could be explained by the low conductivity of the soil (Ait, 2007). This is in agreement with the report of Asi and Ajeagah [22] in the same area (23.5 °C – 24 °C). The pH, that ranges from 5.3 to 6.3 ascribes an acidic character to the groundwater of Soa. Hence, Within this range of values, however, the well P1 is more acidic than the other four wells (P2, P3, P4 and P5). These results are similar to the groundwater of Yaoundé obtained by Moussina Yaka et al. [10], in the groundwater of Yaoundé. The acidity of the groundwater is believed to be due to the acidic nature of the soils in the region [23]. It could also be linked to the production of CO₂ in the surface layers of the soil under the action of biological activities [24, 25, 26]. In addition, the pH values obtained suggests a decrease in the dissolution of CO₂ in the groundwater. This result is similar to that of obtained in the groundwater of Cross River Basin in Nigeria [27].

The mean values of EC and TDS varied depending on the water sampling points. They were high for the well P1 (289.4 µS / cm and 145 mg /L respectively) and relatively low for the other wells of P2 (35.7 µS /cm and 17 mg /L), P3 (47 µS /cm and 23 mg / L), P4 (33.2 µS / cm and 16.4 mg / L) and P5 (47.5 µS / cm and 24 mg /L). The major cation (Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺) and nitrate (≤2.5 mg /L of NO³⁻) contents remain generally low. These values show that these waters are soft and lowly mineralized. However, the high values observed in well P1 (27.80 mg /L of Na⁺, 4.97 mg /L of K⁺, 1.10 mg /L of Mg²⁺, 8.30 mg /L of Ca²⁺ and 1.60 mg /L of NO³⁻) for these different variables could be due to the low level of protection of the well (absence of well cover and presence of grasses along the internal wall of the well) and also to the shallow depth of the well. This is in conformity with the assertion that groundwater that is nearer to the surface of earth is more susceptible to pollution as noted by Mbawala et al. [28]. The mineralogical composition of the groundwater could also be influenced by the mineralogy of the host rock, the contact time with the minerals, the water circulation speed, the aquifer water renewal time and the rainfall [29].

The mean water color values fluctuated from 11.4 to 56.6 Pt. Co. This high color value was largely influenced by the drop in the water column in each well in July. The oxidability values ranged from 3.3 to 5.3 mg /L. They showed that the water is moderately loaded with organic matter. The WHO sets a threshold of 5 mg /L of oxidability in drinking water.

The oxygen saturation percentage (Sat %) varied from 64.8% to 66.5% and reflected a fairly good oxygenation of the water. These results differed from those obtained by Nougang et al. [30], where in a low to medium saturation rate in dissolved oxygen was recorded. The relatively high value (66.50 %) recorded at the P2 well may be attributed to the fact that open the well is open. The latter aspect indeed This increases the contact and exchanges between water and air in the ambient environment.

The dissolved carbon dioxide contents fluctuated between 2.8 and 4.2 mg /L. The NO³⁻ contents were relatively low for wells P2 (0.4 mg /L), P3 (0.8 mg /L), P4 (0.5 mg /L) and P5 (0.9 mg/L) as compared to well P1 (1.6 mg/L). However, they remain below the standards recommended by the WHO in for the drinking water (50 mg /L).

Table 3. Average values and standard deviations of the physicochemical parameters measured by station

Parameters	Well 1	Well 2	Well 3	Well 4	Well 5
T (°C)	23.20 ± 0.2	22.80 ± 0.2	23.60 ± 0.3	23.10 ± 0.3	23.60 ± 0.4
pH	6.30 ± 0.4	5.60 ± 0.3	5.40 ± 0.6	5.30 ± 0.4	5.50 ± 0.6
TDS (mg/L)	145 ± 27.1	17 ± 4.4	23 ± 4.5	16.40 ± 6.1	24 ± 3.7
EC (µS/cm)	289.40 ± 50.5	35.70 ± 9.5	47 ± 10.1	33.20 ± 13.8	47.50 ± 5.8
Color (Pt.Co)	20.20 ± 19.4	56.60 ± 59.3	45.40 ± 56.8	11.40 ± 16.8	23 ± 74
Alcalinity (mg/L)	1.80 ± 0.4	1.80 ± 0.4	1.80 ± 0.4	1.80 ± 0.4	2.20 ± 1
CO ₂ (mg/L)	4.20 ± 1.5	2.80 ± 0.9	2.80 ± 1.5	3.50 ± 1.2	2.80 ± 0.9
O ₂ (%)	64.80 ± 2.3	66.50 ± 6.1	65.20 ± 2.9	65.40 ± 3.7	65.50 ± 3.2
Oxydability (mg/L)	3.40 ± 1.4	3.30 ± 2.3	5.10 ± 0.3	4.80 ± 1	5.30 ± 0.6
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg/L)	1.60 ± 0.4	0.40 ± 0.3	0.80 ± 0.5	0.50 ± 0.2	0.90 ± 0.7
Mg ²⁺ (mg/L)	1.10 ± 0.5	0.40 ± 0.1	0.60 ± 0.2	0.50 ± 0.3	0.70 ± 0.4
Ca ²⁺ (mg/L)	8.30 ± 4.5	3.50 ± 0.6	4.80 ± 2.2	3.10 ± 0.9	5.30 ± 2.5
K ⁺ (mg/L)	4.97 ± 2.6	0.93 ± 0.2	1.38 ± 1.1	1.12 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.1
Na ⁺ (mg/L)	27.80 ± 16.9	1.02 ± 0.6	1.83 ± 1.6	1.42 ± 0.8	2.05 ± 2.4

3.3 Bacteriological parameters

Qualitatively, whitish to yellowish colonies of various diameters were the obtained colors on PCA medium. Those obtained on EMB medium and identified did belong to 5 bacterial genera of within the Enterobacteriaceae family (in all the water samples). These include the genera of *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Shigella*, *Citrobacter* and *Providencia*.

Quantitatively, the means of bacterial abundances shown in (Table 4) varied from one bacteria to another. The abundances of heterotrophic aerobic mesophilic bacteria (HAMB) ranged from 5.45 to 5.95 log CFU / 100 mL in different wells. These recorded abundances are above the WHO standard [31] which sets a threshold of 2 log CFU / 100 mL and significantly higher (P < 0.05) than those of the identified genera of Enterobacteriaceae (Table 4).

Bacteriological analysis carried out on water samples taken from the wells during the study period show that, these waters contain bacteria resulting from fecal contamination. Among the main groups of bacteria indicating fecal contamination, two have been represented. These are total coliforms represented by bacteria of the genera *Klebsiella* and *Citrobacter*, and fecal coliforms represented mainly by the genera *Escherichia*. The abundances of these two groups of bacteria have varied from one well to another over time. The abundances of bacteria of the genus *Klebsiella* ranged from 3.15 to 3.76 log CFU / 100 mL and those of the

genera *Citrobacter* fluctuated from 3.45 to 4.03 log CFU / 100 mL. The mean values of the *Klebsiella* and *Citrobacter* abundances recorded were 1.56 and 1.85 log CFU / 100 mL respectively, while the abundances of *Escherichia* ranged from 0 to 3.08 log CFU / 100 mL (Table 4). These values were all higher than the standards set by the WHO for drinking water. These standards set the levels of fecal coliforms and total coliforms at 1.3 and 1.69 log CFU / 100 mL respectively. These results are similar to those obtained by Buguih et al. [4] in the case of spring water of the West region of Cameroon.

Table 4. Averages of bacterial abundances according to wells

Bacteria (Log UFC/ 100 ml)	Wells				
	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
HAMB	5.95	5.69	5.78	5.79	5.45
<i>Escherichia</i>	3.08	2.90	2.30	0	0
<i>Klebsiella</i>	3.45	3.38	3.15	3.76	3.34
<i>Shigella</i>	3.66	3.25	4.17	4.07	3.80
<i>Citrobacter</i>	3.60	3.88	3.45	3.5	4.03
<i>Providencia</i>	3.41	3.08	3.73	2.90	3.25


Apart from these two bacterial groups, other pathogenic and opportunistic bacteria identified are bacteria of the genera *Shigella* and *Providencia*. The abundances of bacteria of the genera *Shigella* oscillated between 3.25 and 4.17log CFU / 100 mL. Those of the genera *Providencia* fluctuated between 2.9 and 3.73 log CFU / 100 mL (Table 4). The permanent presence of these pathogenic bacteria and their high abundance 3.79 log CFU / 100 mL (*Shigella*) reflects the degree of pollution in these water samples and the high health risk. Similar results have been obtained by Mpakam et al. [32] in Bafoussam and Moussina Yaka et al. [10], in Yaoundé, where many pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and many other bacteria witnessing fecal contamination have been observed in the ground waters.

The major differences in bacterial abundance observed in the five analyzed wells are influenced by the structural character (opened or closed) of the well. A greater bacterial density in closed wells (P3, P4 and P5) than in open wells (P1 and P2) was noted. This shows that closed wells are more polluted than open wells. In fact, the closed wells considered in this study, although protected on the outside by adequate copings, covers and pulley systems, contain other sources of pollution in their environment. These are most likely the toilets in the case of well P4 located upstream of sloping ground and about 2 m from the well. In addition, these wells as we observed are close the agricultural fields and gardens. All these parameters account for the high abundance of the observed bacterial populations as well as their great diversity. Socioeconomic characteristics of households influences the type of toilet and the mode of waste management (Wright et al.) [33]. This implies that high income households will have better sanitary facilities and manage their wastes better. The low income status of most in if the households in of the study area explains the poor sanitary facilities and low waste management capabilities which are directly impinging on the quality of groundwater in the Soa.

3.4 Relative frequencies of bacterial abundance

The ratios (monthly relative frequencies) of the average abundances of each bacterial genus identified to those of HAMB on one hand and to the average sum of Enterobacteriaceae identified on the other hand were calculated and are represented respectively in Figures 2 and 3. Figure 2 shows that the abundances of the different identified genera vary from one month of sampling to the other, appearing high in some months and dropping to rarity in some other months. This is the case with bacteria of the genus *Escherichia*, which turned out to be very rare during the months of May, June, July and August. In addition, the abundances of the bacterial genera identified represent only 4% of the HAMB counted.

Fig. 2. Variations in the proportions of each bacterial genus identified compared to HAMBs






Fig. 3. Variations in the relative proportions of each identified bacterial genus identified compared to all Enterobacteria

In all the bacteria identified, those of the genus *Shigella* showed the greatest abundance (Fig. 3). Eighty percent of the enterobacteria identified in June were of the genus *Shigella* while less than 20% was of the genera *Escherichia* and *Providencia*. Similar results have been obtained by Nanga et al. [12] in Yaoundé and Ndjama et al. [34] in Douala.

3.5 Statistical analysis

3.5.1 Spearman's correlation

Spearman's "r" correlation tests were carried out among the mean bacterial abundances of the various wells (Table 5) and between the bacterial mean abundances and physicochemical parameters (Table 6). The correlations made between the mean abundances of different isolated bacterial strains indicate a very significant and positive correlations between the abundances of the genera *Escherichia* and those of the genera *Klebsiella* (0.549) and *Citrobacter* (0.512). A significant and positive correlation was also noted between *Citrobacter* and *Klebsiella* (0.397) (Table 5).

Table 5. Correlations between the different bacterial strains isolated

	<i>Escherichia</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>Shigella</i>	<i>Citrobacter</i>	<i>Providencia</i>	HAMB
<i>Escherichia</i>	1	0.549**	-0.335	0.512**	-0.098	0.264
<i>Klebsiella</i>		1	0.06	0.397*	-0.303	0.086
<i>Shigella</i>			1	0	0.084	0.162

<i>Citrobacter</i>	1	0.173	0.147
<i>Providencia</i>		1	0.316
HAMB			1

*: $P < 0.05$ **: $P < 0.01$

From the correlations made between the physicochemical parameters and the mean abundances of isolated bacteria, it is seen that, very significant ($P < 0.01$) and positive correlations exist between the abundances of *Klebsiella* and parameters such as electrical conductivity (0.742), TDS (0.840), NO_3^- (0.614) and Mg^{2+} (0.713), Ca^{2+} (0.572) and K^+ (0.589) (Table 6). The same observation was made between *Escherichia* and Mg^{2+} (0.549), Ca^{2+} (0.565), Na^+ (0.563) and K^+ (0.565) and between *Citrobacter* and K^+ (0.549) (Table 6). Significant ($P < 0.05$) and positive correlations were recorded on the one hand between *Escherichia* and parameters such as pH (0.447), electrical conductivity (0.491), TDS (0.476) and NO_3^- (0.487) and on the other hand between *Citrobacter* and pH (0.485) and NO_3^- (0.397) (Table 6). A significant and negative correlation was noted between *Citrobacter* and oxidability (-0.430) (Table 6).

The results of the correlations between biological and physicochemical variables show that 9 variables significantly influenced the population and the distribution of bacteria throughout the study. Increase in pH of water significantly increases the abundance of bacteria of the genera *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella* and *Citrobacter*. This is in conformity with that of congruent with Nola et al. [35] observation that in a given medium, where increase in pH sometimes favor the development of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, as well as the abundance of faecal coliforms and faecal streptococci. The levels of nitrates and oxidability, which represent the organic matter in the medium are significantly positively correlated with the genera *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella* and *Citrobacter*, for nitrates, and negatively with the genera *Citrobacter*, for oxidability. This difference in results imply from the fact that, bacteria react differently with organic matter depending on the composition of the latter. Organic matter influences the availability of nutrients while serving at the same time as a source of energy and carbon for certain microorganisms [36]. Major cations (Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , K^+ and Na^+ ions) and other mineral elements represented by the electrical conductivity of water and TDS are very significantly correlated with bacteria of the genera *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella* and *Citrobacter*. Same Similarly, negative correlations between these cations (Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , K^+ and Na^+) and the other genera (*Shigella* and *Providencia*) are also noted. The influence of major cations on microflora of the soil and the subsoil varies depending on the ability of the bacterial species to degrade these cations (either to neutralize its toxicity or to make nutrients available) and the energy source which is necessary for their biosynthesis. In addition, the activities of certain microorganisms lead to the release and migration of certain ions such as Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , SO_4^{2-} and many others [24].

Table 6. Correlations between the bacteria isolated and the physicochemical parameters measured

Physicochemical variables	Microbiological variables					
	HAMB	<i>Escherichia</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>Shigella</i>	<i>Citrobacter</i>	<i>Providencia</i>
Temperature	-0.033	0.094	0.124	-0.163	-0.045	0.294
pH	0.222	0.447*	0.424*	-0.209	0.485*	0.176

EC	0.35	0.491*	0.742**	-0.045	0.263	-0.18
Color	-0.13	-0.295	0.119	0.361	-0.167	0.123
O ₂	-0.034	-0.132	0.05	0.32	0.23	0.14
CO ₂	-0.031	0.392	0.212	-0.14	0.29	0.164
TDS	0.24	0.476*	0.840**	0.03	0.2	-0.312
Nitrates	0.256	0.487*	0.614**	-0.041	0.397*	-0.047
Oxidability	-0.094	-0.137	-0.259	-0.144	-0.430*	0.113
NH ₄ ⁺	-0.129	-0.123	0.255	0.319	0.135	0.143
Mg ²⁺	-0.079	0.549**	0.713**	-0.197	0.278	-0.374
Ca ²⁺	0.17	0.565**	0.572**	0.018	0.268	-0.251
Na ⁺	0.322	0.563**	0.267	-0.373	0.052	-0.064
K ⁺	0.233	0.565**	0.589**	-0.018	0.549**	-0.015

*: $P < 0.05$ **: $P < 0.01$

3.5.2 Kruskal Wallis comparison

Several comparison tests (Kruskal Wallis H test) between the mean abundances of HAMB and the different bacterial genera and between the abundances of bacterial and the months of sampling were carried out. The tests reveal that, a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) exists between the mean abundances of HAMB and those of Enterobacteriaceae (Table 7). The abundance of HAMB and those of bacteria of the genera *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella* and *Citrobacter* varied significantly ($p < 0.05$) between months of sampling. Only the abundances of bacteria of the genera *Shigella* remained constant over time (Table 8).

Table 7. P-values indicating the significance thresholds relative to the comparison of the mean abundances of the genera of Enterobacteriaceae and of HAMB

	Bacterial genera				
	<i>Escherichia</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>Shigella</i>	<i>Citrobacter</i>	<i>Providencia</i>
HAMB	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*

*: $P < 0.05$

Table 8. P-values indicating the significance thresholds relating to the Kruskal-Wallis H test between the genera of Enterobacteriaceae and the months of sampling

	<i>Escherichia</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>Shigella</i>	<i>Citrobacter</i>	<i>Providencia</i>	HAMB
Month	0.011*	0.003*	0.908	0.028*	0.544	0.024*

*: $P < 0.05$

4. CONCLUSION

Groundwater samples taken from certain wells in the Soa region of Cameroon in Africa were analyzed to deduce their bacteriological and physicochemical contents. Prior to that, the morphometric and hydrological characteristics of the sampling points were determined since they are known to influence the bacteriological and physicochemical characteristics of the water.

Piezometric and morphometric evaluation reveals that the groundwater of Soa especially that accessed through hand-dug wells has a low piezometric level and is not protected. This exposes the water to several sources of pollution.

Physicochemical assessment points towards to an acidic and poorly mineralized groundwater which is found susceptible to pollution and degradation of its physicochemical quality.

Bacteriological analysis reveals that the water in the study area that is not recommended for drinking purpose because of the presence of pathogenic germs that are indicative owing to the of high levels of fecal pollution. These bacteria are responsible for gastroenteritis, hepatovesicular, vaginal and urinary tract infections in humans.

Physicochemical parameters such as pH, electrical conductivity, TDS, oxidability, nitrates and major cations (Ca^{2+} , Na^+ , K^+ and Mg^{2+} ions) are clearly seen to influence the load and distribution of bacteria in the water. The bacterial load is also influenced by the proximity of the wells to the sources of pollution and the level of maintenance of wells.

In general, this study can be considered as a baseline study that underscores the risk of groundwater pollution. It should therefore serve as a reference for future studies on the risk of pollution and contamination of groundwater in the Soa subdivision of Cameroon.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Heriarivony S C, Razanamparany B, Rakotomalala J E. Caractères physico-chimiques et bactériologiques de l'eau de consommation (puits) de la commune rurale d'Antanifotsy, Region Vakinankaratra, Madagascar. Larhyss Journal. 2015;24: 7-17
2. Ndjama J, Mafany G, Biram E B, Mfopou Mewouo Y C, Tarkang C, Aboubakar A, Apohkeng Dongmo O L, Ekoa Bessa A Z. Evaluation of Surface Water Contamination

- Using Heavy Metal Pollution Indices in the Mgoua Watershed, Southwestern Cameroon. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2021; 10 (11): 142-156.
3. Nkengfack H, Edmond N D, François K. Analyse des déterminants de l'offre de l'eau potable au Cameroun. HAL. 2017
 4. Biguioh R M, Adogaye SBB, Pete P M N, Sobze M S, Kemogne J B, Colizzi, V. Microbiological quality of water sources in the West region of Cameroon: quantitative detection of total coliforms using Micro Biological Survey method. *BMC public health*, 2020; 20(1): 1-7.
 5. Nola M, Ewoti O V, Nougang M, Mougang M L, Chihib N E, Krier F, Servais P, Hornez J P, Njine T. Involvement of cell shape and flagella the bacterial in retention during percolation of contaminated water through soil columns in tropical region. *Journal of Environmental Science and health Part A*. 2010; 45(11): 1297-1306.
 6. Payment P, Locas A. Pathogens in water: value and limits of correlation with microbial indicators. *Ground Water*. 2011; 49: 4-11.
 7. Upfold N S, Luke G A, Knox C. Occurrence of human enteric viruses in water sources and shellfish: A focus on Africa. *Food and Environmental Virology*. 2021; 1-31.
 8. Pial A C, Madjiki A G, Ndengue G D, Mbassi M F C. Disponibilité et qualité des eaux de boisson consommées dans quelques établissements scolaires de la ville de Yaoundé (Cameroun). *Journal of Applied Biosciences*.2016; 107 :10362-10370.
 9. Nzeket A B, Moyo K B, Aboubakar A, Youdom Y A S, Moussima Y D A, Zing Z B, Sulem Y N N, Mama A C, Mfopou M Y C. Assessment of Physicochemical and Heavy Metal Properties of Groundwater in Edéa (Cameroon). *American Journal of Water Resources*. 2019; 7 (1): 1-10.
 10. Moussima Yaka D A, Tiemeni A A, Zing Z B, Jokam NTLL, Aboubakar A, Nzeket A B, Fokouong T B H, Mfopou M Y C. Qualité physico-chimique et bactériologique des eaux souterraines et risques sanitaires dans quelques quartiers de Yaoundé VII, Cameroun. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*. 2020; 14(5): 1902-1920.
 11. Ngo B P, Nougang M E, Nana P A, Metsopkeng C S, Tamsa A A, Noah E O V, Mougang L M, Tamekou S L, Bayemi P H, Perrière F, Sime N T, Nola M. Relative importance of the bacteria *Brucella*, *Salmonella*, *Staphylococcus* and other indicator bacteria in some mountain farm waters in West-Cameroon (Central Africa) and the potential role of some environmental factors. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*.2020; 08(02): 081–094.
 12. Nanga N, Ngene J P, Tsala D E, Ngoule C, Lamare N. Relation entre Pollution des Eaux de Sources, Forages et Maladies Hydriques Enregistrées au Centre Hospitalier Dominicain Saint Martin de Porres (CHDSMP) du Quartier Mvog-Betsi à Yaoundé. *Health Sciences and Diseases*. 2014 ; 15 (3) : 1-8.
 13. Lanjri A F, Brigui J A, Cadi E, Khaddor M, Salmoune F. Caractérisation physico-chimique et bactériologique des eaux souterraines de Tanger (Physico-chemical and bacteriological characterization groundwater of Tangier). *Journal of Materials and Environment Science*.2014; 5: 2230- 2235.
 14. Bivins A, Lowry S, Wankhede S, Hajare R, Murphy H M, Borchardt M, Brown J. Microbial water quality improvement associated with transitioning from intermittent to continuous water supply in Nagpur, India. *Water Research*. 2021; 117- 301.

15. Yin Z, Duan R, Li P, Li W. Water quality characteristics and health risk assessment of main water supply reservoirs in Taizhou City, East China. *Human and Ecological risk assessment an International Journal*. 2021; 27(8): 2142-2160.
16. Kuete M, Dikoume A, Elong G. Aperçu historique et population actuelle. *Commune rurale* (édition), Soa - Cameroun. 2004; 48.
17. Letouzey R. Notice de la carte phytogéographique du Cameroun au 1/500.000 domaine sahérien et soudanais. Institut Carte International de Végétation, Toulouse. 1985; 142 P.
18. Vallerie M. Contribution à l'étude des sols du Centre- Sud Cameroun. Types de différenciation morphologique et pédologie sous climat sub-équatorial. Edition de L'ORSTOM, Paris.1973 ; 29 : 111 P.
19. Bilong P, Belinga S E, Volkoff B. Séquence d'évolution des paysages cuirasses et des sols ferrallitiques en zones forestières tropicales d'Afrique centrale. Place des sols à horizons d'argile tachetée. *CR Academic Science, Paris*. 1992 ; 314(II) : 109-115.
20. Rodier J, Legube B, Merlet N, coll. L'analyse de l'eau. 9^e édition, Dunod, Paris. 2009 ; 1579 P.
21. Marchal N, Bourdon J L, Richard C. Les milieux de culture pour l'isolement et l'identification biochimique des bactéries. *Dion-biologie appliquée, Paris*. 1991; 505 P.
22. Asi Q A, Ajeegah G A. Biodiversity of microsporidian spores in groundwater of the Center Region of Cameroon: seasonality and relationship to ecological factors of the medium. *International Journal of Environment and Science Technology* (springer).2020; 1-18.
23. Asi Q A, Ajeegah G A, Okoa A T N. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* and *Cyclospora* in the soil around the wells and springs in Yaounde and environs: Role of some abiotic factors of the medium. *Journal of Bio-science Research*. 2020 ; 6(6) :41-49.
24. Lasm T, Koffi Y T, Oga M S, Koffi K F, Jourda P, Kanan K, Baka. Analysis of physico-chemical characteristics of Groundwater in Proterozoic Land Region of the Tiassale Area (Southern Cote d'Ivoire). *European Journal of Scientific Research*. 2008; 3(20): 526-543.
25. Matini L, Mouton J M, Kongo-Mantono M S. Evaluation hydro-chimique des eaux souterraines en milieu urbain au Sud-Ouest de Brazzaville, Congo. *Afrique Science*.2009 ; 05 (1) : 82–98.
26. Kouassi M A, Yao A K, Ahoussi E K, Seki C L, Yao A N, Kouassi I k, Biemi J. Apports des méthodes statistiques et hydrochimiques à la caractérisation des eaux des aquifères fissurés de la région du N'Zi-Comoé (centre-Est de la Cote d'Ivoire). *Internationnal Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*. 2010; 4(5).
27. Nganje T N, Hursthouse A S, Edet A, Stirling D, Adamu C I. Hydrochemistry of surface water and groundwater in the shale bedrock, Cross River Basin and Niger Delta Region, Nigeria. *Applied water Science*, 2017 ; 7(2) : 961 – 985.
28. Mbawala A, Abdou et Ngassoum M B. Evaluation de la pollution physico-chimique et microbienne des eaux de puits de Dang-Ngaoundéré (Cameroun). *International Journal Biological Chemical Sciences*.2010 ; 4(6) : 1962-1975.

29. Lagnika M, Ibikounle M, Montcho J P C, Wotto V D, Sakiti N G. Caractéristiques physico-chimiques de l'eau des puits dans la commune de Pobè (Bénin, Afrique de l'Ouest). *Journal of Applied Biosciences*. 2014;79: 6887-6897.
30. Nougang M E, Djuikom E, Noah Ewoti O V, Mougang L M, Ateba Bessa H (). Abundance of Faecal coliforms and Pathogenic *E. coli* in Groundwater in the Coastal Zone of Cameroon (Central Africa) and Relationship with Some Abiotic Parameters. *Current Research Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2011; 3(6): 622-632.
31. WHO. Guidelines for drinking-water quality, third edition incorporating the first and second addenda, Recommendations.2011 ; (1).
32. Mpakam H G, Kouam K, Jean-loup B, Ekodeck G E, Bernard D. Etude des facteurs de pollution des ressources en eau en milieu urbain : cas de Bafoussam (Ouest, Cameroun). <https://www.google.fr/search/Mpakam/étude> (download February 2nd). 2017.
33. Wright J, Gundry S, Conroy R. Household drinking water in developing countries: a systematic review of microbiological contamination between source and point-of-use. *Tropical Medicine International Health*. 2004 ;9 : 106–17.
34. Ndjama J, Kuitcha D, Awah T M, Beyala K K V, Nkamdjou S L, Ekodeck E G. Richesse spécifique et abondance bactérienne dans deux bassins versants (Mgoua et Bobongo) à Douala (Cameroun). *Journal Africain des Science de l'environnement*. 2011; 2 (12): 71-83.
35. Nola M, Njiné T, Djuikom E, Sikati F. Faecal coliforms and faecal streptococci community in the underground water in an equatorial area in Cameroon (Central Africa): the importance of some environmental chemical factors. *Water Research*. 2002; 36: 3289-3297.
36. Nola M, Njiné T, Boutin C, Messouli M, Servais P, Foto Menbohan S, Ngo Bidjeck L M, Zébazé Togouet H S, Kemka N. Retention of *Escherichia coli* from water infiltration in the equatorial soil in Cameroon (Central Africa): The role of various soil layers. *Journal of Cameroon Academy of Sciences*.2004; 2: 107-116.