

Review Form 1.6

+

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_79908
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of mulching on soil water content, maize performance and weed growth in dry land area of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In general, the increase in economical crop yield must be the main target for research workers in this field. Different methods were used for this target, one of them using mulches to reduce evaporation and to increase the soil moisture available for plant use.</p> <p>However, there are some observations:</p> <p>Abstract: some observations included, however, the abstract does not on the journal system.</p> <p>Introduction: Good</p> <p>Material and Methods: clear and written in detail (good). However, what about the fig. 1 and 2 that the author(s) mentioned (not found in the text).</p> <p>Result and Discussion: There is no need for some sentences (so were deleted), all the observations were included in the text that the author (s) may pay attention.</p> <p>Conclusion: good</p> <p>References: all the references in the list are not on the journal system</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The results must be reviewed carefully	
Optional/General comments	Accepted after correction	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kowthar Gad El-Rokiek
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt