

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_76860
Title of the Manuscript:	Status of cyanotoxin contamination of animals in Africa
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>* Overall, the paper is not scientifically deep and heavy;</li><li>*The summary is very vague, is not precise (no numbers);</li><li>* English needs to be improved;</li><li>* The literature is poor and not varied;</li><li>*Page 2 (2.1. North Africa): it is necessary to highlight other works (just 2 authors, it is so little);</li><li>*The figure 1 does not provide scientific support;</li><li>*Remove references from conclusion and rephrase, eg. Recap.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mardja Tahri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chadli BenDjedid University, Algeria</b>