

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_76537
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception and practices of covid-19 in rural areas of south eastern Nigeria: a preliminary study
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>.The study aimed to assess the perception and practices of COVID-19 in rural areas of south eastern Nigeria which is relevant to the country. Adequate knowledge and preventive practices can only control the rapid transmission of this deadly disease. But there are several things which might be addressed:</p> <p><b>Point 1: Abstract</b> The abstract started with the aim of this study. They didn't add <b>introduction</b> here. Please write two or three sentences in introduction.</p> <p><b>Point 2: Introduction</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Write some positive sentences regarding COVID-19 to the beginning of the introduction.</li> <li>ii. There are many recent publications regarding this topic. Please follow the latest publications.</li> <li>iii. They didn't add the research hypothesis. What is their research hypothesis? Please add the research hypothesis to the end of the introduction.</li> </ol> <p><b>Point 3: Materials and methods</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. What sampling technique did they use? Please explain clearly.</li> <li>ii. Explain the Cronbach's Alpha value of all the topics/items?</li> <li>iii. Did their research study review by an institutional review board (IRB)? Please state clearly.</li> </ol> <p><b>Point 4: Results</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The results are very exciting. What is the significant of the results? Please explain more clearly.</li> <li>ii. They can visualize the result of the source of COVID-19 information by using graphs to explain better.</li> </ol> <p><b>Point 5: Discussion</b> Discussion should be more attractive. In the discussion, they should refer more current studies. There are so many latest studies on this topic. Highly recommended to follow.</p> <p><b>Point 5: Conclusion</b> Compared with the abstract process, the conclusion is more suitable for extracting the key points and adding to the abstract content. Highly recommended to follow.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Point 1:</b> The references are not correctly presented. It presents error in the citation. Please adjust.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arman Hossain Chowdhury</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Begum Rokeya University, Bangladesh</b>