

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_76466
Title of the Manuscript:	Long-term trend in mean density of Antarctic krill ( <i>Euphausia superba</i> ) uncertain
Type of the Article	Method Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I congratulate the authors for the manuscript entitled: "<b>Long-term trend in mean density of Antarctic krill (<i>Euphausia superba</i>) uncertain "</b>.</p> <p>Considering the relevant theme presented, I suggest:</p> <p>a) To the authors, I ask you to observe the journal's regimental policies to be published, since there is a need to make corrections in the way the bibliographic references were cited in the text and later added in the topic "references";</p> <p>b) That the authors observe minor inaccuracies in the writing of the manuscript (tense and spelling, especially).</p> <p>c) The references used for the elaboration of this manuscript are very old. I suggest that authors search for more recent academic papers (last five years at least) for broader research.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luciana Cristina Machado
Department, University & Country	Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil