



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_65545
Title of the Manuscript:	The Distribution and Seasonal Variation of Zooplankton Species of the Great Kwa River, Calabar, Nigeria: A Reassessment Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript presents important information about the zooplankton species present in the Great Kwa River. The text is written in a professional, clear, and concise manner. However, some flaws are noted or long in the manuscript. In this way, observations and suggestions were made to improve the quality of the article and its impact.	
Minor REVISION comments	The main purpose of the work is the analysis of the seasons. However, this information is little worked on, and the results of comparison between the sample points are presented with greater emphasis. The methodology requires that the collection procedures be better described (number of collections per month, time and drag distance, depth) so that the work can be replicated. No statistical analyzes were performed to verify the existence of differences between the seasons and sample points. The final recommendations presented by the authors were not presented and discussed clearly during the results and discussion of the manuscript. The information from the Tintinnida group is of great relevance. However, in the way the results are presented, it contributes little. To really understand the dynamics that take a marine species to be found in a freshwater environment, data must be presented more clearly.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gianfrancisco Schork
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Southern Bahia, Brazil