



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_65521
Title of the Manuscript:	Occupational and Environmental Health Concerns of Unsafe Acts and Conditions in Selected Poultry Production Sites in Ido-Ekiti.
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Grammatical errors 2. Missing methods 3. Missing references 4. The discussion should be relevant to the objective. 	<p>The authors needs to act on the grammatical errors in the work; construct straightforward sentences and make them simple.</p> <p>Methods used for antibiotic resistant test have not been made available while there are results and discussions on this objective.</p> <p>Some sentences needs to be referenced.</p> <p>The discussion did not provide scientific backing of results. Generally, the discussion should give some technical/scientific reasons for the objective, results on the objective, meaning of the results, relationship between the results and other findings and reasons for trends observed.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors should provide some bit of evidence 2. Mode of transport of microbiological samples to the label should be elaborated 	<p>Pictures on antimicrobial resistant profiles of bacteria / methods.</p> <p>Was it disk diffusion method that was employed to determine the antimicrobial resistance among bacterial pathogens isolated?.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Generally, the scope is good, the write-up is but luck some technicalities</p>	<p>The general scope of the manuscript is good and the area is quite.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gadafi Iddrisu Balali</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Kwame Nkrumah University Of Science And Technology, Ghana</i>