

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_88638
Title of the Manuscript:	Calculus of orthogonal projectors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In my opinion, the paper is interesting and well written; the results are new with correct proofs. However, I suggest the authors to revise the paper according to the following remark.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>(1) There are few extra spaces in the whole paper. Revise it throughout the paper.</li><li>(2) Page 1, in Proposition 1, line 10+ one bracket is missing.</li><li>(3) Further, let authors check the names of all the journals in the list of references including the abbreviated of ones.</li><li>(4) Without using any logical symbols, that is., for all, there exists, implies, if and only if instead the corresponding logical symbols.</li><li>(5) Taking into consideration the above-said typo, grammatical errors, and suggestions, then the paper may be accepted for publication. It can be recommended after minor revision.</li></ol> <p>However, the authors have to be advised to revise the manuscript by taking care of sentence structure, punctuation, and stylistic errors.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Jerolina Fernandez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Bhopal School of Social Sciences, India</b>