

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_87031
Title of the Manuscript:	Cost Effective Analysis on Mathematical Modelling of HIV/AIDS with Optimal Control Strategy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comments <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please check English presentation throughout the manuscript. 2. Please revise the abstract. It is not clear some part. 3. Is it possible to give new presentation in section "Introduction"? 4. In Introduction section, would it be possible to link/compare/tell the different between the literature and the authors' approach. 5. Please give more details in Table 1. 6. Please check some parameters in Table 1, they did not appear in equation (1). 7. Please give more details of ICER (e.g., sign of ICER, meaning of ICER). 8. Please check that the authors mention all tables and figures in the results. 9. The results should be explained and summarized in a professional way. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title is not attractive. This might be changed by some words. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pakwan Riyapan
Department, University & Country	Prince of Songkla University, Thailand