

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_86583
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTIONS ON THE PRACTICES OF ICT INTEGRATION IN TEACHING AND LEARNING: A SURVEY OF SCHOOL MATHEMATICS TEACHERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The research was well thought, but the author has to do his home work in the area of presentation. To this the author should go through the whole work and apply the corrections as indicated. Those in <b>RED</b> should be deleted while those in <b>BLUE</b> apply. There are ones with underlines with specific instructions as a guide to the author. Specifically, the author should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Re-write the abstract as directed and include method used in analyzing the data collected. The author should delete all the marked sub-headings in RED as well as all the words marked in RED and bracketed and apply those words in BLUE. The abstract should be coordinated with appropriate sentences. He should also indicate the method used to analyze the data collected</li> <li>2. The author should note that the keywords were incomplete therefore should include all indicated.</li> <li>3. Some of the paragraphs in the introduction are two short so should be joined to make the whole ideas coherent and for good reading. The author should see the area indicated in <b>red</b> and effect the correct.. <b>The author should mention the name of author(s) when he is quoting directly not including the year of publication which is quite different from Para-phrasing the words of an author when you can then number [ ]</b></li> <li>4. There is need for a literature review on ICT integration in teaching and learning as what was contained in the introduction was scanty.</li> <li>5. In the methodology, the research design should come before any other thing. The methodology calls for restructuring so that the whole method should be in the sequence of which comes first in line with application and procedure. The author should follow the guide given in the main work.</li> <li>6. The sub-heading 'Results' should rather be 'Data Presentation and Analysis'</li> <li>7. The author cannot be analyzing data that are 20 miles away. In the first instance, the author need to present the data collected to enhance the analysis. Analysis of data goes in block and not as seen in short paragraphs as the author has done. The author should first present the data in table before the analysis for proper understanding of the result. The data should be well presented in tables with well designed columns and rolls showing specifically the cell for each datum and not as seen presented in the main work.</li> <li>8. The conclusion should start with a sentence of outcome of the study. (See example give in the main work).</li> <li>9. The author should go through the references and add the initials of authors where omitted and punctuate where needed.</li> <li>10. Finally, I suggest the title be reframed to be: '<b>School Mathematics Teachers' Perception of the integration of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) in Teaching and Learning: A Survey</b>'</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Onwubiko Emmanuel Chidiadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria</b>