

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_86583
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTIONS ON THE PRACTICES OF ICT INTEGRATION IN TEACHING AND LEARNING: A SURVEY OF SCHOOL MATHEMATICS TEACHERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In data analysis sentences like this below are redundant Slightly more than half (53%, n=56) of the respondents generally disagreed that using technology for instruction is cheap in Ghana (Item 32). This means that more than half of the respondents noted that using technology for instruction in Ghana is not cheap. Same for item 35	
Optional/General comments	Excellent paper on a very important topic Mathematics is STEM the core of teaching	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michel Plaisent
Department, University & Country	University of Quebec In Montreal, Canada