

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_86437
Title of the Manuscript:	Horvitz-Thompson and Calibration Estimators of Finite Population Total for Cluster Sample for Equal Clusters
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors presented statistical analysis for clusters for calibration estimators of finite population for equal sample cluster. Although, the work is interesting however, some concerns should be addressed before its further processing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title of the article is very confusing. Because title is very important to rectify that what the authors has been done. It should be revised in more informative way. 2. Check grammatical errors throughout the manuscript and run spell check. 3. Please remove the words like "I, we, you" throughout the manuscript and used most appropriate word. 4. Abstract should be revised by adding some core findings of the study and the methodology used. 5. Introduction section should be modified by adding a paragraph highlighting the novelty of the work. 6. From which aspect your study will attracts the Journal audience? Which new values added in the literature? 7. Can we you some other estimator instead of Horvitz-Thompson? 8. Conclusions should be revised. 9. Please check the similarity index before its resubmission. 	
Minor REVISION comments	NA	
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adnan
Department, University & Country	Mohi-Ud-Din Islamic University, Pakistan