

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_80900
Title of the Manuscript:	Forecast Analysis of Ghana's Gross Domestic Product in Economic Growth using Time Series ARIMA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction contains an insufficient number of references to the papers of other authors. In my opinion, the 10 resources used in the professional scientific text are few (of which there are at least 5 web resources). Please extend this section with other quality studies, mainly from the Web of Science or Scopus databases. 2. There is no clearly defined aim of the work, it would also be good to add research questions or hypotheses that would support the fulfillment of the aim. In addition, you talk about hypotheses on page 5, but you have not set any hypotheses. 3. In the methodological part, the authors describe only the general ARIMA model or the autocorrelation function or the partial autocorrelation function. However, it no longer states how the methods will be used in this paper. The paper procedure is not clear. The use of the Dickey Fuller test should also be described here. A more detailed description of the data used would also be useful. 4. The conclusion should be extended with additional information from the text, it should be more specific and it should express a generalization of the results. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title of Table 3 states "Dicky Fuller Test", shouldn't it be "Dickey-Fuller Test" correctly, as stated elsewhere in the text? 2. Table 3 uses several types and sizes of fonts. As well as elsewhere in the text. 3. References require minor revisions according to the requirements of the journal. They are not uniform. 4. Keywords - according to the requirements of the journal, there must be at least 4 keywords. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jakub Horak
Department, University & Country	Institute of Technology and Business in Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic