

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_79302
Title of the Manuscript:	Decay estimate for solutions to a semilinear plate equation with memory
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	<p>I have read this paper very carefully. Then I feel this paper is suitable for publication in your journal. In this paper, author has considered the initial value problem of a semilinear plate equation with memory term . He/ she has studied the decay estimates for solutions to the equation in the spirit of reference [1,2].</p> <p>I have checked all solution procedure and results; solution procedure is new, accurate and easy to understand for the people. But this paper wants the following corrections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If the Abstract Section should have been rewritten. Because, this study can be understood much beter. 2. Attention should be paid to punctuation marks and some spelling mistakes. 3. Author should add the other different papers in reference list for better presentation of paper. <p>I strongly recommended this paper for publication in your journal without any kind of hesitation.</p> <p>With best regards.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Derya Arslan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Bitlis Eren University, Turkey</i>