

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_89477
Title of the Manuscript:	A 5-YEAR REVIEW OF INCIDENCE, PRESENTATION AND MANAGEMENT OF BARTHOLIN GLAND CYSTS AND ABSCESES IN A TERTIARY HOSPITAL, YENAGOA, SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)									
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "This was a retrospective <b>descriptive</b> study that was conducted between 1st January, 2016 and 31st December, 2020. Relevant data were retrieved, entered into a pre-designed proforma, and <b>analysed</b> using Statistical"</li> <li><b>If you say that you analysed the data it means that your study it is not just descriptive but analytic too !!</b></li> <li>- <b>In the result section:</b></li> </ul> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><b>Marital Status</b></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Single</td> <td><b>17</b></td> <td><b>65.4</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Married</td> <td>9</td> <td>34.6</td> </tr> </table> <p><b>17 women were single!! What does it mean ? it is preferable to indicate if they were having sexual activity or not and if yes if it was with protection or not !!</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>In the result section you say: "Bartholin abscess</b> was the predominant presenting pathology (21, <b>80.8%</b>),..."</li> <li><b>If so , it would have been more interesting to show us in that groupe of women who has Bartholin abscess how was the demographic description !!</b></li> </ul>	<b>Marital Status</b>			Single	<b>17</b>	<b>65.4</b>	Married	9	34.6	
<b>Marital Status</b>											
Single	<b>17</b>	<b>65.4</b>									
Married	9	34.6									
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments											
<b>Optional/General</b> comments											

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Moustaide Houda</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Abdelmalek Essaadi Tetouan, Morocco</b>