

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_89477
Title of the Manuscript:	A 5-YEAR REVIEW OF INCIDENCE, PRESENTATION AND MANAGEMENT OF BARTHOLIN GLAND CYSTS AND ABSCESES IN A TERTIARY HOSPITAL, YENAGOA, SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Despite the limitations referred to by the authors, it is an interesting work in the area. It is required to review and correct (in the correct way), the citation form of each of the sentences or paragraphs, since they must place the citation at the end of the sentence or paragraph [place the citation] and at the end the point; to continue with another sentence, or another paragraph.</p> <p>example: The Danish anatomist, Caspar Bartholin was the first to describe the gland in 1677, hence the name Bartholin gland [1]. The Bartholin gland is also called the greater vestibular gland. Each Bartholin gland is approximately 0.5 cm in size and drains drops of mucous into a duct 2.5 cm long [1-3]. There are two Bartholin glands, each found in the labia minora at the 4- and 8- o' clock positions respectively on each side of the vaginal orifice, just below the hymenal ring [2,3].</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Review the conclusion - since it does not correspond to what is written. It's a suggestion</p> <p>Annotations are made in the writing as evidence of what the authors can or should correct.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>It is necessary to review and correctly write the conclusions based on what was actually obtained in the case study.</p> <p>Take care of the style and correct form of the references both in the paragraphs and at the end of the list of references (use only the most relevant data - homogenizing and using only the necessary ones)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Valladares Carranza
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of The State of Mexico, México