

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_89172
Title of the Manuscript:	Contraceptive Use an evolving challenge; Perception and Misconception among women of reproductive age at University of Nigeria Nsukka, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	It is recommended a little description of the instrument used. How many questions it has? How many sections? What's the question type in there? What is the instrument's level of validity and reliability? I think that five or six lines are enough for this description.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Review title length, maybe it can be reduced to 15 words. Think about it. Informed consent should be a document, not only a verbal agreement. Don't you have a participant's signed document?	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gerardo Ruvalcaba Palacios
Department, University & Country	University of Guanajuato, Mexico