

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_87474
Title of the Manuscript:	UPTAKE AND SIDE EFFECTS PROFILE OF COVID-19 VACCINE AMONGST MEDICAL DOCTORS AT A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methodology: This section is scanty; the author(s) should give details on inclusion and exclusion criteria, sampling methods for both the study site and participants be mentioned, a brief description of the data collection instrument, pretesting of the questionnaire, data management and analysis. Also include some ethical considerations; was the study authorised/ was consent sought from the participants, etc</p> <p>RESULTS: The columns for Frequency (n) and percentage (%) in Tables1-3 should be merged as n (%)</p> <p>Check your in text citation number 33 seems to be missing</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Need to thoroughly edit the paper for grammar ensuring that tenses, conjunctions and punctuations are used appropriately for example do not use ; in place of , or : in the place of ; etc</p> <p>Background: Is missing in the abstract</p> <p>Methodology: In the abstract; semi-structured questionnaires? How many types? Or Do you mean copies of the Semi-structured questionnaires? Please be clear</p>	
Optional/General comments	The topic is relevant, presented interesting results and well discussed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Author(s) need to indicate whether the study was approved and by who? State whether the study was clearly explained to the participants and their consent sought</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eta Née Enow Vivian Ayamba
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon