

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_82408
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnostic Difficulty of Cornual Pregnancy: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract ;objective- prenatal period to be written as antenatal period, and rewrite the objectives Case reporting is not proper words like laparotomy surgery not to be used Words like cornuectomy to be replaced by corneal resection Introduction?;</p> <p>abdominal pain with muscular defense on palpation and cervical motion pain on vaginal examination was found in both abdominal and vaginal examinations respectively? Rewrite the sentences ⁵ In our case, cornual pregnancy was proven only after laparotomy surgery. Rewrite the word laparotomy surgery by following laparotomy</p> <p>After the initial sonographic examination, suspicion of ectopic pregnancy was excluded and shifted to peritonitis. Sentence need to be reformed, gross mistake</p> <p>Diagnostic Difficulty of Cornual Pregnancy: A Case Report The heading need to be changed</p> <p>In general the whole article need to be written as</p> <p>Introduction; Case report ; Discussion Conclusions</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shobha Shiragur
Department, University & Country	BLDE DU Shri B M Patil Medical College Vijayapur, India