

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_79477
Title of the Manuscript:	CERVICAL INSUFFICIENCY AND PERINATAL OUTCOME IN A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN YENAGOA, SOUTH-SOUTH, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Title lack type of the study , participants and outcome parameter2. Data about frequency of intercourse, multiple partners, administration of tocolytics (type, dose and duration of use)3. Data about smoking and alcohol intake4. data about genital and urinary tract infections, vaginal discharge5. How was the diagnosis of cervical insufficiency (ultrasonographic criteria)6. correlation between cervical length and time of delivery, perinatal outcome7. Type of cerclage done abdominal , vaginal McDonalds or Shirodkar8. correlation of perinatal outcome and GA at delivery	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Maged
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt