

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_78495
Title of the Manuscript:	SIDE EFFECT PROFILE OF HEMATINICS IN PREGNANCY IN JOS NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Actually the paper is nice and also vey well-organized. This is well narrated as per the requirement of journal as well as standard format. The introduction part is nicely written. The presentation is very interesting with very good tables and bar chart. The conclusion part is also showing the crux of Anemia in pregnancy. The conclusion part is also nicely written and has very clear message for readers and clinicians, that is importance of clinical examination, detailed history taking and keeping such kindes of cases. The manuscript is fitting well into the existing literature in view of the points mentioned above. It is very well written in good English and the abstract, the introduction, the results, discussion and conclusions part are in correct and desired sequence. The conclusions are consistent with the evidence presented in the manuscript. My comment is, the author used vey old references in the introduction and discussion part. I have highlighted them. There for what I recommend is the author must replace the older references by the new one, then the paper will be very interesting.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Girum Tefera Belachew
Department, University & Country	Debre Birhan University, Ethiopia