

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_78017
Title of the Manuscript:	Unusual rise in CA 125 levels in Endometriosis
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I have no mandatory review comments	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Sometimes also in tubo-ovarian abscesses CA 125 increases a lot ( I had myself such a case with CA 125 over 600 U/ml)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Adnexa, not adenexa, is the correct term	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diculescu Doru
Department, University & Country	University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj-Napoca, Romania