

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_78017
Title of the Manuscript:	Unusual rise in CA 125 levels in Endometriosis
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this interesting study "Unusual rise in CA 125 levels in Endometriosis".</p> <p>However, I have some comments and recommendations:</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>Title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is appropriate for the content of the article. <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Represents a suitable summary of the work. <p>Case:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The methods and results have been well explained. <p>Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Are justified on the basis of the results of the study. <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Suggest clinical implications. <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Update some references. <p>General:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Revise language, grammar and syntax. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Akmal El-Mazny</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Cairo University, Egypt</i>