

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_88166
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro Evaluation of Three Conservation Methods of Probiotics from 42-day-Old Tropical Calves
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Very good write-up but the following have to be looked into: 1. The experimental design and the statistical analysis- comparing two probiotics which were conserved using three methods and at four different periods cannot be Complete Randomized Design and thus can't be analysed using one way. 2. The construction of sentences are to be looked into as well	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Adhere strictly to the journals referencing style. what you have in the manuscripts even varied.	
Optional/General comments	Always define abbreviations at first mentioning before subsequent use.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ojoawo Olubunmi Titilayo
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria