

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_86175
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Zinc and Gypsum on Growth, Yield and Economics of Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The MS of the "Ms_ARJA_86175" may be a recent updated of the zinc plus Gypsum in the groundnut development. The study was compared concentration in the both Zn and gypsum, then define the 0.75Zn+500G combination for a suitable yield and income in the study.</p> <p>However, the serious critical-points of this study were found to improved. 1) According to an important in scientific consideration, <u>a control treatment did not find</u> in this work. 2) Several sentences did not refer to any reference for ethically writing, such as introduction section.</p> <p>Besides, 3) the results and discussion should discuss in a performance and/or important in each zinc, gypsum, and combination of zinc and gypsum that affected to the groundnut in this study condition. Also, the concentration has to correctly suggest in the abstract (0.25%Zn ??). 4) The many references in sentence did not find in Reference section such as (Ramnathan, 2001) (Nurezennat et al. 2019) (Yadav <i>et al.</i>, 2017) (Sreelatha <i>et al.</i>, 2004). 5) In the "Introduction", the last paragraph should be said about the objective of the study.</p> <p>6) Some ref. suggestion</p> <p>Ref-1998 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333844458_Effect_of_Gypsum_and_Zinc_sulphate_on_yield_and_quality_of_summer_groundnut_under_broad_bed_and_furrow_system_ANPRMG_Ingole_B_M_A_H_Bhakare_J_Soil_and_Crops_1_8_1_64-66_1998</p> <p>Ref-2017 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319656152_Effectiveness_of_Zinc_and_Gypsum_Application_Against_Cadmium_Toxicity_and_Accumulation_In_Wheat_Triticum_aestivum_L</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Please check English and stye of the Journal, such as in the Abs: <u>of 9 Treatment and 3 Replication ...</u> etc. 2) The statistic, (such) indicated in different letter, in each treatment should be indicated in those tables. 	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suravoot Yooyongwech
Department, University & Country	Mahidol University, Thailand