

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_86086
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVE MEMBERSHIP ON WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR NON-FATAL RISK REDUCTION FROM COVID-19
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Table 1 on page 12 should be revisited and correct the errors.</b> It seems there are errors in the figures; adjust the variables where necessary. " *** shows 1% significant", however HS, Experience were not significant but having ***</p> <p><b>Missing reference</b> "by Hammitt (2000)" on page 9 is missing in the reference. International Labour Organization and International Cooperative Alliance (2015) page 2.</p> <p><b>SAMPLE SELECTION</b> Could you explain how the cooperative and non-cooperative members were selected? Page 9.</p> <p>The manuscript seeks to ascertain the effect of agricultural cooperatives on members' willingness to pay for morbidity risk reduction arising from COVID-19. With a hypothesis that agricultural cooperative societies did not have an effect on rice farmers' WTP to pay for morbidity health risk reduction. The manuscript clearly introduced the problem, it also gave a good background highlighting key areas backed with theories and concepts. However, there are few issues that I think if addressed will shape the manuscript</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript should be written following the journal author guide: the font, font size, structure of the manuscript, citation, referencing etc. were not conform to the journal's guide.</li> <li>2. The manuscript made a scanty conclusion without explaining how their findings fill gaps in literature in the area explored.</li> <li>3. The manuscript failed to state further how the current findings will influence future research.</li> <li>4. It also failed to state whether the hypothesis stated was accepted or rejected, and the reasons for it.</li> <li>5. The manuscript failed to state the type of effect agricultural cooperative has on farmers WTP for COVID-19 morbidity risk reduction.</li> </ol> <p>I suggest the authors expand the conclusions and the recommendations further.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>LGAs.. Write in full page 8 ... hypothesized that agricultural cooperative societies <b>did..</b> can you use 'may' instead of 'did'</p> <p><b>In the abstract</b> ... member <b>likely willing</b> to pay ₦561.... Use either 'likely or willing'; delete one of them Delete "<b>Background</b>" after the introduction. ... In all, a total of 150 each of <b>cooperation</b> and non-cooperative members.....(you may want to use <b>cooperative</b>) page 9. ... The function <i>Ud(.)</i> takes cognizance...(w) instead see page 10. ... <b>WTP or WTO</b> check and correct accordingly. Page 12. ...1 if the respondent is a member and...(close the space) page 11. ...<del>₦8.46m</del> and <del>₦846.15 m</del> estimated.. (close the space) page 13. ... agricultural cooperative <b>had</b> an effect...(has instead). Page 16. ... cooperative society as complementary..(...as <b>a</b> complementary...) page 16</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I suggest the authors expand the conclusions and the recommendations further.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daniel Nyarko Ayisi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Hungary</b>