

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_82584
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence Of Irrigation Water Deficit On Forage Yield, and Water Utilization Efficiency For Sorghum and Cowpea Forage Crops
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Although sometimes farm production may seem simple, there are always even small things that can improve production. Of course, the most important measures are the correct choice of tillage, manure or compost, vegetable fertilization, hydro-ameliorative works to better regulate the water-air regime and above all irrigation, but also the correct choice of species to sow, increasingly affects the profitability of this production. All available agro-technical measures should be included in the fight against drought, such as treatment adapted to soil water storage, plant nutrition, selection of varieties and hybrids, sowing, care and protection of crops during the growing season.</p> <p>Sorghum and Cowpea are important forage crops, which stand out in the diet of ruminants with their high yield and quality of biomass, and long period of use during the year.</p> <p>So, It can be said that the topic is very current in food production. In the introduction, the authors briefly emphasize the issue of water deficit, and the importance of irrigation, citing the achievements identified in previous research. The experiment is well set up and the applied methods are acceptable from the aspect of scientific research. The results of the work are clearly presented. The literature is adequate and adapted to the topic.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The authors need to correct the English in the text. In one paragraph, I gave a suggestion on how to write it, although it is not obligatory to write it that way, but in general the text needs to be corrected.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The Manuscript can be accept for printing with correction the English and correction the some technical mistakes.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jordan Marković
Department, University & Country	Serbia