

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_89599
Title of the Manuscript:	Extracurricular Reading of Business English Majors in South China University of Technology: A Survey-Based Comparative Analysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. in the abstract, please include research methods, data analysis, subject selection techniques. 2. on keywords, you should write "Extracurricular Reading, survey, Comparative Analysis" 3. at the point of "research design", does not describe what research methods were used. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. pada titik "pengambilan sampel dan pengumpulan data", berapa jumlah populasinya, dan teknik pengambilan sampel apa yang digunakan? 2. please improve the structure of the methodology, starting with research design, population and sample, data collection techniques, and data analysis 3. on the reference, please correct the order of the bibliography, starting alphabetically. In addition, pay attention to the template used. whether to use APA style or something else. 4. At the conclusion, please summarize the content of the findings or simply explain the important points of the findings. 	
Optional/General comments	in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia