

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_89599
Title of the Manuscript:	Extracurricular Reading of Business English Majors in South China University of Technology: A Survey-Based Comparative Analysis
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract section should clearly state the contribution of this research and what research gap it addresses. It should bring in more details about methodology used. Include population and sampling, and data analysis approach</li> <li>The introduction needs to be developed further to clearly establish the justification/importance of the new initiative; these need to be specifically linked to the associated issues and problems. The introduction would benefit from a succinct description of what the manuscript is about and a stronger emphasis on the problem addressed by the research. Importance of the research needs also to be more clearly stated, in more depth and perhaps including here an example</li> <li>Coverage of other relevant work is partly provided, however there is a lack of comment and critical review of this work. Including a wider critical overview of the most recent literature would significantly contribute to the quality of the manuscript and would strengthen its academic perspective and scientific foundation. Much more critical analysis needs to be undertaken of the relevant literature and key points/issues identified which will underpin the rest of the manuscript. Try to include some latest journal article references published from 2019-2022 in the specific areas to improve the relevance and currency of the research</li> <li>The discussion section should effectively integrate the literature with the finding to provide a coherent argument in favor of the proposed research provided.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript needs further documentation on both, theoretical and literature review levels in order to enhance its contribution to the relevant scientific area. Our suggestion is that the manuscript needs extended restructuring in terms of more convincing arguments and documentation of the originality and the value of this particular research. A coherent presentation and interpretation of the findings and provide an argument that supports the significance of the research in comparison to what is already known about this research problem.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Arita Marini</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia</b>