

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_87800
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends of Neonatal Mortalities in the Tamale Metropolis
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors have done retrospective chart review for 5 yrs and interviewed mothers with neonatal deaths over 6 months. Data will not be reliable in a retrospective study of this nature. 2. Title indicates trends in neonatal mortality but authors have looked at over all cause of mortality during the study period 3 .It is mentioned as a mixed method of study but only quantitative data is given. Have they submitted only part of the data collected? 3. Language requires major editing. Some of the following are examples: -little empirical studies are conducted' -'This section presents research methodology...' - 'mothers had their babies cry..' - mothers admitted their babies suffered jaundice.. -'Siakawa etal findings...' 4.Conclusion mentioned cannot be derived from this study 	
Minor REVISION comments	Check whether the references are listed as per journal style	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript requires major revision. Authors may consider comparing the cause of death as mentioned by the mothers with that mentioned in the records by the doctors (verbal autopsy)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B.Vishnu Bhat
Department, University & Country	Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation, India