

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_86718
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing social participation and self-esteem among children with cognitive disability within African families: The Case of Ilala District in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study made by the researcher is very much an appreciable task. Kudos! The researcher has made an exemplary attempt to voice out the plight of children with cognitive challenges within the African families. The presentation of the factors related to the study along with background of a well-known theory is very much commendable. The selection of research methods and procedures are also excellent. The Findings and solution offered by the researcher is the need of the hour, indeed and has been suggested in a skilful manner.</p> <p>To strike on a positive tone, the study concludes by recommending the parents and social workers to instil in the children a sense of self-esteem and confidence and encourage them to be cognitively, socially and physically sound in all walks of life is highly commendable.</p> <p>In the reference section, '&', 'and', 'AND' are not to be used while citing the names of the authors. Kindly follow one standard format.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It would be fine if the researcher/author mentions the handbook referred ,the edition of the book in section 3.0, so as to confirm the rules stated in it and to check that the author has followed it while documenting.</p> <p>In 3.0-Theoretical Framework, page no.4 the researcher has quoted the words of Aileen (2011), the work from where it was quoted is not mentioned. Similarly it has to be reflected in the Reference Section.</p> <p>Citations that are missing in the Reference section is listed below-kindly see to it 1.page no. 4-Arvidsson et.al., 2013 2.Aileen 3.page no.11-Paschal et.al.,2014</p> <p>page no.14- 2nd para of Conclusion: A Child's... (s is missing)</p>	
Optional/General comments	Thought-provoking study. On the whole, splendid work by the researcher.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.Shanthi
Department, University & Country	PSG College of Arts and Science, India