

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_84402
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Outline of the Gulf Nations' Cosmetics Review and Approval Process: GSO Standards
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject is relevant and it represents local social interests for countries in development. The manuscript's method is robust and technically sound. The conclusions are not strong enough for a specialist publication. They are merely descriptive.	
Minor REVISION comments	This is a descriptive article on cosmetics laws and regulation in the Gulf region. It is not clear which argument is intended to be defended in the article.	
Optional/General comments	It is necessary to review the article's arguments to make it stronger.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristina Hashizume
Department, University & Country	Methodist University, Brazil