

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_82648
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding the Intricacies Between Southeast Asia and Russia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is none.	
Minor REVISION comments	Literature review can be expanded. More secondary sources could be utilized in order to support the major claims and discussions throughout the paper.	
Optional/General comments	The article presents a brief evaluation of the strategic and economic connections between the Southeast Asian nations and the Russian Federation, providing a multi-dimensional and multi-lateral analytic overview in the process. It clearly states its context, aims and research methodology as well as delivering a review of some of the key works used in the article. The article provides a good, summarizing qualitative analysis.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hayati Aktaş
Department, University & Country	Akdeniz University, Turkey