

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_82648
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding the Intricacies Between Southeast Asia and Russia
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	References are not listed as they appeared in the paper and the format is not in agreement with the journal style: the author should kindly work on. There are some grammatical errors, the author(s) should work on.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	After the 'association of southeast Asian nations' the short form or abbreviated form can simply be (ASEAN). In-text citation at the end of the sentence of two or multiple authors 'and' Should be replaced with ampersand, for example, (Severini and Thuzar 2012, 23) should read as (Severini & Thuzar 2012, 23). On literature review, unless otherwise, the work details are not important. The author should kindly navigate the literature among others the literature author's approach to the theme(s) and findings and the strength and weakness to be identified in order to fill the gap.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author(s) have done a noble job for bringing forth topic light. It's a good attempt.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enoch Amila Shehu
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Lafia, Nigeria