

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_81645
Title of the Manuscript:	SPATIAL GROWTH OF CALABAR METROPOLIS: DETERMINANTS AND EFFECTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I recommend the following corrections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information on the elaboration of maps: Data source, author, design methods, etc.; Text formatting: titles and sub-titles numbering, spacing and alignment; Citations and references. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is recommended to bring the most recent biography on your topic. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article presents an important theme for urban studies focused on the processes that occur in the inner space of cities. It is an approach that contributes to the understanding of both the factors and determinants of the growth of the urban space, which contributes to an improvement in urban planning from the point of view of urban infrastructures and the urban mobility system.</p> <p>The methodology presented is interesting and allowed us to assess the perception of city population on factors and determinants of growth in the metropolitan region of Calabar. However, the article proposal still needs improvement according to the comments placed in the original text. I believe that with the necessary improvements the article will become clearer and publishable.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tomé Francisco Chicombo
Department, University & Country	Instituto Superior Politécnico de Gaza (ISPG), Mozambique