

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_78362
Title of the Manuscript:	Legislation on Determining the Parentage of a Child Born Out of Wedlock Based on the Perspectives of the Best Interest of the Child and Progressive Fiqh
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Topic is good.</b> The author has discussed the issues of the children out of wed lock with reference to the contemporary judicial policy of Indonesia state. However, the author did not acknowledge the contribution of traditional Muslim jurists who did a lot of work to resolve the issues of the out of wedlock children. Without deep knowledge of the objectives of Shari'ah (marriage) Sunnah (pbuh), work of traditional Muslim jurists, Islamic law cannot be understood properly.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author should include juristic opinions of the traditional Muslim jurists who discussed the issue in detailed. State legislation during the Caliphate of Hadrat Umar regarding the rights of out of wedlock children should be acknowledged in the research.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>To make this article progressive and useful, the author should revise his/her research in the lights of objectives of marriage in Islam, Sunnah (pbuh), Policy of Hadrat Umar and juristic opinions of the traditional Muslim jurists.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Naseem Razi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan</b>