



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_59797
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID-19 Pandemic and Social Fractures in Nigeria: Challenge to Public Policy Framing.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	—	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The subsections of Aims, Study design, Results, and Conclusion should be included in a structured abstract (please see Author Guidelines). 2. The authors must use the most specific MeSH terms as keywords rather than the broad and more general headings. Moreover, pandemic is not relevant to the topic. The appropriate keywords can be "Coronavirus, Nigeria, Pandemics, and Policy", for instance. 3. "Physical distancing" is better than "social distancing". While "social distancing" is still widely used, it may be sending the wrong message and contributing to social isolation. 4. What does precarity mean? Please briefly define it. For example: precarity (i.e. the state of having an insecure life with lack of protection from social risks, unstable income and employment)... 5. Please replace "COVID 19" with "COVID-19". 6. Kindly include the conclusion section in the article. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The text, excluding the abstract, should be divided into numbered sections with starting from introduction as section 1. Also, subsections should be numbered (for example 2.1, then 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2, etc.), up to three levels. 2. Please review the manuscript for use of the acronyms; for instance: coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). In addition, define the acronyms separately in the abstract and introduction before using them in other sections of the manuscript (e.g. SSA, ILO, WHO, AIDS). 3. Please writing references list in Vancouver style. The name of journals should be based on PubMed. Do not create abbreviations if journals are not available in PubMed. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohsen Khosravi
Department, University & Country	Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Iran