

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_85480
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF THE LEAVES AND STEM OF AGERATUM HOUSTONIANUM. MILL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaprij.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The table captions and the references must be written according to the recommendation of the journal	
Optional/General comments	Tannins also belong to the group of phenolic compounds. How can we explain the higher values of tannin content compared to the total phenol content in the studied raw materials?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Viktoriiia Protska
Department, University & Country	National University of Pharmacy, Ukraine