

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_85480
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF THE LEAVES AND STEM OF AGERATUM HOUSTONIANUM. MILL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalapj.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Check grammar Typos and spellings should be check and revise	
Minor REVISION comments	Add the following references Sharma KR. In-vitro antioxidant, antidiabetic and toxic effect of Ageratum houstonianum from Chitwan district Nepal. Journal of Balkumari College. 2020 Jul 14;9(1):48-54. Alade GO, Frank A, Uwakwe GS, Awotona OO, Ajibesin KK. Evaluation of Pharmacognostic Characteristics of the Leaf of Ageratum houstonianum Mill. Compositae. Nigerian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2020;16(2):107-15.	
Optional/General comments	It can be published as it is	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pinnamaneni Rajasekhar
Department, University & Country	Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, India