

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Oncology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_89465
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative study of Local control, Response and Toxicities between Accelerated Radiotherapy versus Concurrent Chemoradiation using Conventional Fractionation in the Treatment of Locally Advanced Cervical Cancer (stage IB2, IIA2, IIB-IVA)</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>A well-conceived, planned and executed study. The introduction is beautifully written. Understandable and with enough references. The results are clearly presented. The conclusions are solidly presented. I think this study can be published after minor corrections.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Data and sentences from the results are repeated in the discussion. In my opinion, the data from the results should be excluded from the discussion and the focus should be on comparing the results of this study with the results of other, similar studies. There are not enough references in this section.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Conclusions should be written more precisely, in concrete and shorter sentences	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nermin Hrnčić</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Sarajevo School of Science and Technology (SSST University), Germany</b>