

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_89179
Title of the Manuscript:	Positive Peritoneal Lavage Cytopathology: Prevalence and Relation to Clinico-Pathological Characteristics of Colonic Adenocarcinoma: a Cohort Study
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article brings useful information concerning aspects of colonic adenocarcinoma. Here are some recommendations for the improvement of the paper:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -the article needs English and drafting correction -the authors say that this is a cohort study, than, in some places of the article, they name it "a trial"; it's not the same thing, the authors should stick to the term "cohort study" -the authors should specify the number of approval from the Ethics Committee of the institution -the abbreviations should be explained the first time they appear in the text (ex., BMI, CEA) -Table 1 is not cited in the text -the tables are placed too far from the text citing them, which makes it difficult for the reader to follow the data -Tables 3 and 4 – what is "-ve" and "+ve"? Is it PPLC and NPLC? In this case, it should be expressed in one and the same way -the authors should indicate the limitations of the study, and future study directions -the References are quite old. Except 2 references, from 2020 and 2021, the others are older than 2015. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bobu Livia-Ionela
Department, University & Country	"Grigore T. Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania