

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_87123
Title of the Manuscript:	Rare case of renal tumor : Carcinoma with Xp11 translocation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Abstract dosnt have integrity in contex, no conclusion, aims, and should be revised and edited according to journal format</li> <li>• The article should be revised and edited according to review article structure of the journal</li> <li>• In the 2004 WHO classification, a rare entity, , "Xp11.2 translocation-related carcinoma", has appeared. It need extra explanation of the case ...</li> <li>• The abbreviation must be extended for the first time such as, The patient was T.A., The evolution of tRCC, and so on</li> <li>• Abstract and introduction content are the same and must be revised</li> <li>• The structure of the article should be based on requested journal format</li> <li>• There are many grammatical point and mistake</li> <li>• Ethical considerations are not mentioned in the text</li> <li>• There isn't any paragraph spacing, order, punctuation and other adjustments</li> <li>• The text is very vague and the sentences are scattered and aimless. No clear result is seen and the article is written in a hurry and carelessly and needs serious editing.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment ( <i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Maryam Darvish
Department, University & Country	Arak University of Medical Sciences, Iran