

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_85660
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF LOW LYING PUBIC TUBERCLE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF INGUINAL HERNIA
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research setting should be completely revised. From the text it seems that the authors consider in the etiology of the hernia only anatomical factors while now we know with important evidences that some comorbidities as overweight, increased endoabdominal pressure such as in the case of prostatic hypertrophy or asthma constipation or other respiratory pathologies that cause coughing in addition to heavy work are factors conducive to the onset of an inguinal hernia. The work has however a validity even if a basic anatomical setting so constructed should lead us as a conclusion that all these patients have a bilateral inguinal hernia to day or in the future. Since this is an important commitment on the part of the AA I would ask to integrate the anatomical data not only with the weight and height of the patients but with the presence of comorbidities favoring the onset of hernia. A multi-factorial statistic analysis is necessary at the end of a paper	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Greco Dalila Patrizia
Department, University & Country	Policlinico di Monza, Italy